DCIPS Performance Management Rating Guidance for Supervisors

DCIPS

DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM

Agenda

- Components of the Rating Process
- Rating Performance Objectives
- Rating Performance Elements
- Addressing “Unacceptable” Performance
- Determining the Recommended Overall Evaluation of Record
- Additional Items to Keep in Mind
- DCIPS Website and Contact Information

Components of the Rating Process

Performance objectives and performance elements are equally weighted in the determination of the overall evaluation of record

Performance Objectives	Performance Elements
What results were achieved	*How* results were achieved
Usually three to six	Six standard
General standard descriptors	Performance standards descriptors
Rating Scale: 1 through 5	Rating Scale: 1 through 5

Rating Performance Objectives

DCIPS
DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM

- Review the following documents to determine the rating for each performance objective:
  - General standards
  - Employee Self-Report of Accomplishments
  - Notes you have taken throughout the appraisal cycle on the employee's accomplishments or issues
  - Your performance evaluation on the employee
- Recognize any bias tendencies and take steps to compensate for them
  - Refer to the back-up slides to learn about common rating errors and ways to avoid making the errors

Rating Performance Objectives

- Don’t make quick guesses regarding an employee’s performance
- Rate employees based on observed facts, not abstract conclusions or assumptions based on personality
- Revisit any feedback you provided to the employee to determine if the employee has acted on it
- Once you decide on a rating, enter it into the Performance Appraisal Application (PAA) Tool
  - PAA Tool will calculate the average for all individual performance objective ratings and round the rating to two decimal places

Levels of Performance for Performance Objectives

DCIPS
DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM

Level	Rating	Description
Outstanding	4.51 to 5.00	Employee far exceeded expected results, such that organizational goals were achieved that otherwise would not have been.
Excellent	3.51 to 4.50	Employee surpassed expected results in a substantial manner.
Successful	2.51 to 3.50	Employee achieved the expected results.
Minimally Successful	2.00 to 2.50	Employee only partially achieved expected results.
Unacceptable	1 on any objective	Employee failed to achieve expected results in one or more assigned performance objectives.
NR		Employee did not have an opportunity to perform the objective because it became obsolete or could not be accomplished due to extenuating circumstances.

Rating Performance Elements

- Performance elements are evaluated using descriptors appropriate for the employee's career category and work level
- Descriptors are provided at the "Successful" and "Outstanding" performance levels for each perfo[illegible]*

Employees	Managers/Supervisors
Accountability for Results	Accountability for Results
Communication	Communication
Critical Thinking	Critical Thinking
Engagement and Collaboration	Engagement and Collaboration
Personal Leadership and Integrity	**Leadership and Integrity**
Technical Expertise	**Managerial Proficiency**

*Refer to the IC Performance Standards which can be found on the Army DCIPS website

Rating Performance Elements

- Review the following documents to determine the rating for each performance element
  - IC Performance Standards
  - Employee Self-Report of Accomplishments
  - Notes you have taken throughout the appraisal cycle on the employee's accomplishments or issues
  - Your performance evaluation on the employee
- Follow the same steps you took to determine the ratings for the performance objectives
- Once you decide on a rating, enter it into the PAA Tool
  - PAA Tool will calculate the average for all the individual performance elements ratings and round the rating to two decimal places

Levels of Performance for Performance Elements

DCIPS
DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM

Level	Rating	Description
Outstanding	4.51 to 5.00	The employee consistently performed all key behaviors at an exemplary level on the element.
Excellent	3.51 to 4.50	The employee demonstrated mastery-level performance of the key behaviors on the element.
Successful	2.51 to 3.50	The employee fully demonstrated effective, capable performance of key behaviors for the performance element.
Minimally Successful	2.00 to 2.50	The employee's performance requires improvement on one or more of the key behaviors for the objective.
Unacceptable	1.99 and below	The employee failed to adequately demonstrate key behaviors for the performance element.

Addressing Unacceptable Performance

DCIPS
DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM

- As soon as performance issues or deficiencies are identified, management should contact Civilian Personnel Advisory Center Management Employee Relations (CPAC MER) for guidance which may include instituting a formal process such as placing an employee on a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP)
- Before giving an employee an “Unacceptable” rating, you must have provided the employee the opportunity to improve with the PIP at least 60-90 days before the final rating. The PIP identifies the:
  - Performance objective(s) and/or performance element(s) that are being performed in an unacceptable manner
  - Actions needed to be taken to meet the objective(s) and/or element(s)
  - Assistance that will be provided
  - Consequences for failing to improve during the PIP period of 60-90 days

Addressing Unacceptable Performance

- Utilize the Performance Problem Analysis Tool below to help assess and resolve performance issues

Source	Physical	Emotional	Intellectual
Personnel	Does the employee have the ability to perform the requirements?	Does the employee care about the work being performed?	Does the employee have the adequate skills and knowledge to perform the task?
Environment	Is the employee missing any resources?	Does the employee view the incentives system as fair?	Have you communicated all procedures to the employee?
Information	Are task requirements clearly defined?	Does the employee understand the relationship between her/his performance and the mission of the organization?	Is the information flowing to the employee in a timely and/or effective manner?

Determining the Recommended Overall Rating of Record

- PAA Tool will calculate the average of the overall performance objectives rating and the performance elements rating
- PAA Tool will round the result as shown below:

Average Rating Range	Rating of Record Descriptor
4.6 to 5.0	Outstanding
3.6 to 4.5	Excellent
2.6 to 3.5	Successful*
2.0 to 2.5	Minimally Successful
1 on any objective	Unacceptable

* Majority of employees will achieve this level
"3 is the new 5" -James T. Faust, ADCS, G-2

Calculating the Rating: An Example

Performance Objectives (WHAT)	Performance Objective Rating	Performance Elements (HOW)	Performance Element Rating
A	4	Accountable for Results	5
B	3	Communication	4
C	3	Critical Thinking	4
		Engagement and Collaboration	3
		Leadership and Integrity	3
		Managerial Proficiency	4
Final	3.33		3.83
Final Rating of Record		**3.6 (Excellent)**	

Additional Items to Keep in Mind

- If an employee receives a rating of 1 on any performance objective, the performance elements are not applied. The recommended overall evaluation of record is a rating of 1, “Unacceptable.”
- The Reviewing Official and the Performance Management Performance Review Authority (PM PRA) need to validate and approve the employee’s Rating of Record before you can discuss it with your employee
- Read the DCIPS Performance Management Handbook for more detailed information on the entire DCIPS performance management process

USDI DCIPS Website

DCIPS
COMMITMENT.
COURAGE.
COLLABORATION.
Search
MANAGERS/SUPERVISORS
HR PRACTITIONERS
EMPLOYEES
SENIOR LEADERS
CONTACT US
Home
Pay Band Structure
Conversion Calculator
Performance Mgmt
Training
FAQ
Documents
Glossary
What's New?
Web pages updated 6/4/08.
Executive Forum
DCIPS 101
DCIPS Web Alerts
Subscribe/Unsubscribe
WELCOME
Meeting our Nation's intelligence needs is a substantial undertaking that the Department of Defense (DoD) is poised to meet. Personnel flexibility is a critical component of our success. DCIPS offers much-needed flexibilities while accommodating the unique needs of the Defense Intelligence Components. My team has been working closely with our Defense colleagues at the Program Executive Office (PEO) National Security Personnel System (NSPS) to leverage best practices in human resource flexibilities.
In the coming months we will begin implementing the pay banding and performance management elements of DCIPS. At the heart of DCIPS is the ability to be rewarded for the contributions you make to our vital mission.
Senior leaders throughout the DoD intelligence community, the DCIPS Implementation Team, and your agency's human resource professionals are committed to providing you with information, training, and other support as we begin a phased implementation of DCIPS.
The DoD intelligence community has an important relationship with the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) and a shared mission with the United States Intelligence Community as a whole. The implementation of DCIPS is a result of the ongoing collaboration between USD(I) and ODNI. DCIPS not only provides the necessary flexibility needed to manage the USD(I) workforce but also embodies the core values of the U.S. intelligence community – Commitment, Courage, and Collaboration.
I appreciate the support of every employee in Defense Intelligence as we implement DCIPS and continue to focus on our mission and exceed the expectations of our Nation.
Respectfully,
James R. Clapper, Jr.
Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence

NIPRnet: **http://dcips.dtic.mil/index.html**

Army IPMO DCIPS Website


DCIPS Home Page - Windows Internet Explorer
http://www.dami.army.pentagon.mil/site/dcips/index.aspx
DCIPS Home Page
DCIPS
DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM
Home
About DCIPS
Documents
DCIPS Training
USD(I) Conversion Calculator
HR Tools
PAA Tool Information
FAQ
Links
Schedule & Events
Contact Us
Post Conversion Assistance
Email Subscription
WELCOME
Thank you for taking the time to visit our website. I am excited about the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System (DCIPS) and the positive changes it will bring to the Army Intelligence functional and human resources communities. I appreciate the entire community standing ready to partner with the Army G-2 Transition Team.
The DCIPS Transition Managers serve as the gateway between leadership and the employees within their organizations so they will be the primary source for information. In addition, the Army G-2 Transition Team will keep the workforce informed through town halls and other communication mediums. Our success depends on you and I appreciate you helping us continue to be an Army Strong.
Respectfully,
Mr. James T. Faust
Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff, G-2
DCIPS Poll
I find the new Army DCIPS website design to be useful and user-friendly?
You have already voted
Strongly Agree: 35.3%
Agree: 35.3%
Disagree: 11.8%
Strongly Disagree: 17.6%
Total Votes: 17
Done
Internet | Protected Mode: On
100%
2:27 PM

NIPRnet: http://www.dami.army.pentagon.mil/site/dcips/
SIPRnet: http://www.dami.army.smil.mil/site/dcips
JWICS: http://www.dami.ic.gov/site/dcips

Contact Us

Ms. Yolanda Watson
Chief, Intelligence Personnel Management Office (IPMO)
Phone: 703-695-2443/DSN: 225-2443

Ms. Vieanna Huertas
DCIPS Implementation Lead, IPMO
Phone: 703-695-1070/DSN: 225-1070

DCIPS E-Mail Inbox
NIPRnet: DCIPS@us.army.mil
JWICS: DCIPS@dami.ic.gov
SIPRnet: DCIPS@dami.us.army.smil.mil

Back-Up Slides

DCIPS

DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM

Common Rating Errors

Common Rating Errors	How to Avoid the Error
Halo—ratings based on a global impression (either positive or negative) of the individual rather than on an individual's performance relative to each performance objective/element.	Evaluate performance on each objective/element independently from other objectives/elements.
Primacy—ratings based only on positive or negative performance early in the performance cycle rather than on performance exhibited throughout the cycle. **Regency**—ratings based only on positive or negative performance toward the end of the performance cycle rather than on performance exhibited throughout the cycle.	Try keeping notes on individual's performance throughout the cycle so that you can recall a particular individual's full performance more easily at the end of the cycle.
Overemphasis on positive or negative performance—relying too heavily on either the positive or negative aspects of an individual's performance when assigning ratings rather than considering both	Because all of an individual's actions on the job are important, be sure to consider both positive and negative performance from the entire performance cycle.

Common Rating Errors

DCIPS
DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM

Common Rating Errors	How to Avoid the Error
Similar/different from me—assigning higher or lower ratings for an individual based on certain qualities or characteristics of him/her that are similar or to or different from the rater.	Make a conscious effort to ignore any similarities or differences you may have with particular individuals.
Stereotyping—basing ratings of an individual on his/her group membership (e.g., ethnicity, gender, religion) rather than on his/her performance.	Be aware of the stereotypes that you hold about different groups, and make a conscious effort to ignore these stereotypes when assigning performance ratings.
Contrast—basing ratings of an individual on a comparison of that individual to others previously rated rather than on the performance objectives/elements.	Interpret and apply performance objectives/elements specifically and consistently to ensure that differences in ratings reflect difference in performance.

Common Rating Errors

DCIPS
DEFENSE CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL SYSTEM

Common Rating Errors	How to Avoid the Error
Central tendency—giving average ratings to all individuals, despite differences in their performance. **Severity—**giving low ratings to all individuals, despite differences in their performance. **Leniency—**giving high ratings to all individuals, despite differences in their performance.	Since the purpose of conducting performance appraisals is to accurately reflect and differentiate an individual's performance through ratings, you should strive to provide fair and accurate ratings.